Improving WordCamp.org Tools

Result Details

**Question 02** If we were to improve the CSS-editing experience, which approach would you prefer?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build an alternative solution. For example, something closer to a traditional development workflow, where you would edit SASS/LESS/CSS files locally in your IDE, and then commit them to an SVN repository. (Note: this would not replace Jetpack, it would just be an additional option)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribute to Jetpack and make the current CSS editor better by fixing problems and adding features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Skips</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build an alternative solution. For example, something closer to a traditional development workflow, where you would edit SASS/LESS/CSS files locally in your IDE, and then commit them to an SVN repository. (Note: this would not replace Jetpack, it would just be an additional option)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribute to Jetpack and make the current CSS editor better by fixing problems and adding features</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39.66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Option</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Responses

**126,105,631**

Now that I've selected, can't deselect.

**126,046,771**

Allow us to edit our own theme files directly

**126,042,686**

The above, only use Git instead of SVN.

**126,042,686**

Both above plus lock the CSS editor in Jetpack

**125,787,222**

why not both, add features to jetpack that would allow sass/css with repository tracking

**124,927,100**

I am really fine either way

**124,927,602**

Do you have any feedback you'd like to share on this topic?

**126,191,663**

I wish I didn't have to use the admin editor, I would love to have an actual CSS file that I could edit! Also, being about to commit images files via SVN would be great too. I always build my WordCamp sites as child themes locally and then upload the images to the media library and paste the stylesheet into the admin editor. If I could keep a consistent workflow between local and live that would make things much simpler and I think sharing those “child” themes with other WordCamp organizers would be easier.

**126,188,212**

See above. :)
I don't get why we are limited to just CSS. Open it up. Let us create without limitations. Security issues and concerns? They can be figured out.

Please, please, PLEASE no more SVN.

I have a local WordCamp plugin that I use to create the initial batch of CSS that I upload to the editor. This plugin has gulp for working with LESS and a few other tools. This lets me start locally then move to the online editor when things are close to being ready.

Anything that will allow us to develop closer to normal setup will be of great help.

I'm not advanced enough for the alternative solution above but there needs to be a better way to track changes that multiple organizers make to the CSS and to prevent two people making changes at the same time and overwrite each other. Like locking the post editing.

I used the addon GhostText for Chrome which lets you sync code that you edit in Sublime Text with the browser window of the CSS editor – a great combo, which works perfectly for my purposes. Fixing the revision (if that is a bug) in Jetpack would be great.

I think the current CSS editing experience is great for simple customizations but once you go past simple colour changes, it becomes effort. Building an advance CSS solution would allow simple css changes to stay simple and more advance CSS changes be more advance.

I'm torn. I would like to contribute to Jetpack and improve it since that would affect fare more people in a hopefully positive manner.

I also would like a standalone since we may try adding features to Jetpack that most users would not need and would lean on more for edits than child themes. Then again, many people never use child themes and edit their themes directly.

A GitHub integration would be nicer than SVN, purely because that would fit into our existing workflow more smoothly. That being said - any option that doesn't involved editing code in the dashboard is good with me.